

Prospective observational study to examine clinical performance and safety of a gelling fiber dressing in routine clinical practice

Effective exudate management is vital for timely wound healing without complications. This prospective observational study examined the clinical performance of a gelling fiber dressing (Cutimed® Gelling Fiber), a solution for moderate to highly exuding wounds, in routine clinical practice. Exudate from acute and chronic wounds was successfully absorbed, and the percentage of wounds with intact wound margins improved from 25.7% to 65.7%. Within the 4-week study period, individual wound size reduced by a mean of 55%, and general wound pain reduced by 67%. These results highlight the potential of the gelling fiber dressing in maintaining a moisture balance conducive to wound healing.

Jan Heggeman

Wound care specialist,
Christian Hospital Melle,
Niels Stensen Hospitals,
Germany

Key words

- Gelling fiber/hydrofiber dressings
- Moist wound healing
- Wound margin protection

Wound exudate is produced as part of the body's natural healing process and contains nutrients, growth factors, immune mediators and immune cells that are essential for tissue repair and infection control (World Union of Wound Healing Societies [WUWHS], 2019). Exudate creates a moist wound healing environment, which is crucial for the removal of non-viable tissue and migration of tissue-repairing cells across the wound bed (WUWHS, 2019). When a wound is healing naturally through the standard stages of healing, the amount of wound exudate is highest during the initial inflammatory phase and gradually diminishes as healing progresses (WUWHS, 2019).

When the healing process is disrupted and a wound becomes chronic, excessive amounts of exudate may be produced (e.g. due to infection, prolonged inflammation, or underlying disease processes) and the exudate composition may change (e.g. elevated levels of inflammatory mediators and matrix-metalloproteinases prolonging the inflammatory phase of wound healing). If excessive and not managed effectively, exudate can lead to periwound maceration, delayed wound healing and an increased risk of infection. Moreover, it negatively affects patients' quality of life (e.g. due to frequent dressing changes, leakage from dressings and malodour; WUWHS, 2019).

Besides treatment of the underlying conditions that contribute to excessive exudate (e.g. infection), effective management of exudate is crucial in wound care to ensure

timely wound healing without complications (WUWHS, 2019). This involves the use of appropriate dressings that absorb and retain excess fluid, while maintaining a moist environment within the wound bed.

Gelling fiber dressings (also called hydrofiber dressings) are absorbent wound dressings that provide an ideal option for clinicians managing wounds with moderate to high levels of exudate. Gelling fiber dressings trap wound exudate within the structure of the matrix and subsequently form a soft gel, providing a moist environment to support the wound healing process. Other advantages include conformability to the wound bed, vertical wicking of exudate helping to reduce maceration, non-traumatic interaction with the wound and facilitation of autolytic debridement (Weller et al, 2020). This article examines the clinical performance and safety of Cutimed® Gelling Fiber in clinical practice.

Methods

A prospective observational study involving 36 patients was conducted in a German hospital from March 2022 to July 2023. The primary objective was to explore the absorption performance of the gelling fiber dressing and ability to prevent exudate accumulation in the wound (exudate management); secondary objectives included: condition of the wound margin; exudate level; wound area reduction; wound pain reduction; ease of use; comfort and satisfaction and safety parameters (i.e. any reported adverse events related to the gelling fiber, such as any disintegration of the

Declarations

This study was funded by BSN medical GmbH (Essity Group), Schützenstraße 1-3, 22761 Hamburg, Germany.

dressing). See **Box 1** for inclusion criteria and **Box 2** for exclusion criteria. Patients presenting with a wound who met the criteria for treatment with the gelling fiber dressing were treated for 4 weeks with weekly assessments. Weekly assessments included:

- Documentation of wound-related parameters, e.g. wound size via photographic documentation software (tissue analytics); exudate level; condition of wound margins and periwound skin; signs of infection and wound pain (using the visual analogue scale [VAS])
- Documentation of product performance (exudate management) and safety parameters
- Documentation of dressing application and removal characteristics
- Patients’ and clinicians’ opinion.

The last observation carried forward method was used to impute missing values when comparing variables between the start and end of the study.

Results

Patient and wound characteristics

A total of 36 patients were enrolled in the study. One patient was excluded at visit 3 due to a required change in treatment following a serious adverse event (not related to the study device or study itself). One patient presented with a healed wound (an expected outcome) at visit 3 and prematurely left the study but qualified for final analyses. The study analysis set therefore reflects data from 35 patients.

The mean age of the patients was 60.6 years

Box 1. Inclusion criteria

- Men, women or diverse individuals aged ≥18 years
- Subject is mentally and physically able to participate in the study
- Able to give written informed consent to participate in the study
- Diagnosed with a wound indicated for treatment with Cutimed® Gelling Fiber for 4 weeks (except for internal body cavities and closed wounds)
- Subjects with more than one ulcer are eligible for inclusion; however, only one ulcer per subject will be included in the study.

Box 2. Exclusion criteria

- Sensitivity to or allergic reaction to the dressing or its components
- Participation in any other clinical study investigating drugs or medical devices
- Subject not able to give consent on his/her own
- Subjects presenting with wounds including internal body cavities or closed wounds with no evidence of clinical wound infection
- Alcohol and drug addiction
- Pregnant or breast-feeding individuals
- Underlying diseases not treated according to respective country-specific guidelines.

(range: 23–82 years), with a male-to-female ratio of 68.6%/31.4%. Various concomitant conditions were present in the study population (e.g. diabetes mellitus, obesity). Of the wounds, 37% were diabetic ulcers, 9% leg ulcers, 34% surgical wounds, 6% traumatic wounds and 14% other (postoperative wound healing disturbances and a pressure ulcer caused by Hallux valgus). The low- to high-exuding wounds had been present for an average of 8.3 weeks and were covered with 0–42% slough (with >20% in 3 wounds) and 0–59% necrotic tissue (with >20% in 3 wounds) at the start of the study. Wound characteristics for all wound types can be found in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Wound characteristics for all wound types in the study																
Wound type	n	Mean wound age (weeks)	Min	Max	Mean wound size (cm²)	Min	Max	No exudate	Low exudate level	Moderate exudate level	High exudate level	Mean wound pain	Min	Max	Compression	Offloading
Leg ulcers	3	13.3	8	20	8.98	4.92	14.68	0%	67%	33%	0%	6.7	4	8	100%	0%
Diabetic ulcers	13	11.9	2	32	6.91	1.30	19.40	0%	46%	54%	0%	1.5	0	7	8%	62%
Surgical wounds	12	5.3	1	13	8.28	0.72	36.33	0%	25%	67%	8%	2.8	0	5	0%	17%
Traumatic wounds	2	6.0	4	8	3.46	1.77	5.14	0%	50%	50%	0%	2.5	2	3	0%	0%
Other	5	4.2	3	5	3.81	1.06	13.13	0%	60%	40%	0%	3.2	1	7	20%	0%
All	35	8.3	1	32	6.92	0.72	36.33	0%	43%	54%	3%	2.7	0	8	14%	29%

The need for additional dressing changes between scheduled visits every 7 ± 2 days declined from 80% of patients at the start of the study to 57% by its conclusion.

Primary outcome

Exudate management

Effective exudate management is vital for timely wound healing without complications. Successful exudate absorption was documented by clinicians in 99.3% of all evaluations ($n=138$) and 100% of evaluations where compression therapy (compression class I or II) was used ($n=18$).

The amount of exudate remaining in the wound [Figure 1] was also documented by the clinician as 'none', 'little', 'moderate' or 'much'. Little or no exudate was present in the wound in 98.6% of all evaluations, and in 94.4% of cases where compression was applied. Exudate was successfully transferred from the gelling fiber dressing to a secondary dressing in over half of the evaluations (64.2% of all evaluations; 55.5% with compression). Secondary dressings and additional wound care products included: gauze (16.2%), absorbent dressing (96.0%), hydrocolloid (1.7%), film dressing (15.0%), hydrogel (34.1%) and other products such as Cavillon lolly (6.9%), maceration protection (1.7%), skin care (0.6%) and sterile plaster (0.6%).

Secondary outcomes

Condition of the wound margins

Since poor exudate management can result in maceration, the state of the wound margins, periwound and surrounding skin is a crucial indicator of how effectively exudate is being managed. During the 4-week study period, the condition of the wound margins improved [Figure 2], indicating the exudate management efficacy of the gelling fiber dressing.




Figure 1. Amount of remaining exudate in the wound




Figure 2. Condition of the wound margins at the study start (V1) and end (V5)

The percentage of patients with intact wound margins increased significantly from 25.7% at the start of the study (visit 1 [V1]) to 65.7% at the end of the study (visit 5 [V5]). The number of wound margins that were macerated decreased from 31.4% at V1 to 14.3% at V5, while those that were reddened decreased from 54.3% at V1 to 31.4% at V5. A slight improvement was also seen in the periwound skin ('intact' for 31.4% at V1/40.0% at V5; 'macerated' for 2.9% at V1/0% at V5).




Figure 3. Wound exudate level at the study start (V1) and end (V5)




Figure 4a




Figure 4b

Figure 4. (a) Mean wound size at the study start (V1) and end (V5); (b) Extent of wound area reduction

Exudate level

As the wound progresses towards healing, the exudate level typically decreases. At the end of the study period, decreased exudate levels were observed for all wound types [Figure 3], indicating healing progress.

Wound area reduction

Reduction in wound area is often used as an indicator of wound healing progress. A substantial mean wound area reduction was observed across all included wound types* [Figure 4a].

The mean wound size significantly reduced from 6.7cm² to 3.5cm² ($p < 0.001$), with a mean individual wound size reduction of 55%. Nineteen patients (55.9%) presented with a size reduction of at least 50% within the 4-week study [Figure 4b].

Three wounds healed completely during the study: a 4-week-old traumatic wound, a 20-week-old leg ulcer, and a 32-week-old diabetic ulcer. The subgroup of wounds having already existed for a minimum of 8 weeks showed a mean individual wound size reduction of 46%, illustrating wound healing progression even for chronic wounds.

Wound pain reduction

Wound pain is a challenging aspect for patients living with chronic wounds. At the end of this study, 23 patients (65.7%) reported less general wound pain compared to the first visit [Figure 5]. General wound pain reduced by 67%, from a mean of 2.69 to 0.88 (VAS scale, $p < 0.001$). A total of five patients (14.3%) did not report any general wound pain during the study, which might be due to a sensitivity disorder, as four of these patients had diabetic ulcers (neuropathy is a common complication of diabetes mellitus; Sun et al, 2020). The fifth patient had a surgical wound.

During dressing removal, 87.0% of patients experienced no pain, 10.9% reported mild pain




Figure 5

Figure 5. Mean wound pain (VAS) at study start (V1) and end (V5)

(1-2 on the VAS) and 2.2% reported a pain score > 5 (VAS). The mean pain score during removal was 0.3 (VAS; the five patients without general wound pain were excluded from this calculation). Pain on removal was most pronounced in patients with leg ulcers, who also reported the highest baseline levels of general wound pain.

Application and removal characteristics

Clinicians rated the ease of dressing application highly in 100% of dressing changes and the ease of removal in 97.8%.

The dressing demonstrated good or very good conformability in 86.7% of applications. Adherence to the wound was reported as none in 55.8% of cases and little in 39.1%, with removal being atraumatic in 97.8% of instances. No bleeding occurred in 95.7% of removals; bleeding was classified as little in the remaining 4.3%. Wearing comfort was rated highly in 97.8% of cases.

Patient and clinician satisfaction

All patients and clinicians were either very satisfied (62% and 53%, respectively) or satisfied (38% and 47%) with the dressing. Treatment requirements were met in all cases.

*One patient in the "other" group was excluded from the wound area analyses due to the presence of an exposed surgical mesh, for which wound area reduction was not the primary treatment goal at the time.

Safety parameters

No device-related adverse events occurred, and no signs of infection were reported. All dressings could be removed in one piece. No device deficiencies were reported.

Discussion

Although wound exudate is a normal part of the healing process, excessive quantities or imbalances in its composition can lead to complications and delayed healing (WUWHS, 2019). Effective exudate management is therefore important to prevent negative outcomes and improve patients' quality of life. Gelling fiber dressings absorb wound exudate, trap it within the matrix structure, and subsequently form a soft gel that maintains a moist environment conducive to healing. These dressings are widely used in wound care and have been extensively studied (Meaume et al, 2014; Chadwick and McCardle, 2016; Carrere et al, 2021; Joergensen et al, 2022; Fong et al, 2024; Klein et al, 2024).

This observational study demonstrated that Cutimed® Gelling Fiber effectively managed exudate in both acute and chronic wounds, leading to improved wound margin conditions. After the 4-week study period, wound size was significantly reduced, even in wounds that

had persisted for several months. Additionally, patients benefited from reduced general wound pain and favourable application and removal characteristics (e.g. high wearing comfort and atraumatic, one-piece removal).

For efficient wound healing, it is also essential to identify and treat the underlying medical condition. For venous leg ulcers (VLUs), compression therapy is recommended as standard conservative therapy and is known to accelerate healing (Valesky et al, 2024). Therefore, it is crucial to select wound dressings that can effectively absorb and retain exudate while under compression. Both of these properties were demonstrated in laboratory testing for the study's gelling fiber dressing (Heggemann et al, 2025), and further validated in this study. Exudate was successfully managed even when compression therapy was used.

Moreover, for treating VLUs, dressings that support wound cleansing or debridement are recommended (Valesky et al, 2024). Gelling fiber dressings are well-suited for this purpose as they possess autolytic debridement properties (Bishop, 2023), resulting in a reduction of sloughy tissue in the wound bed (Carrere et al, 2021; Klein et al, 2024).

If exudate is not managed adequately, prolonged exposure to moisture can cause

Case 1 – Leg ulcer

An 82-year-old female patient with hypertension and saphenous vein insufficiency presented with a 12-week-old leg ulcer, located on the left inner ankle. Exudate levels were low. Treatment included a gelling fiber dressing, hydrogel and an absorbent dressing, used in combination with compression therapy.

After 4 weeks:

- Wound size reduced by 83%
- Wound pain reduced from 8 to 5 (VAS).



Case 2 – Diabetic ulcer

A 69-year-old male patient with type 2 diabetes, arterial hypertension and morbid obesity presented with a 10-week-old diabetic foot ulcer, localised on the left forefoot. Exudate levels were moderate. Treatment included a gelling fiber dressing, an absorbent dressing and offloading.

After 4 weeks:

- Wound size reduced by 81%
- Wound margin conditions improved from macerated to intact.



Case 3 – Surgical wound

A 68-year-old male patient with a history of post-malignant melanoma, following lung carcinoma with brain metastasis, presented with a 6-week-old surgical wound on the right heel. Exudate levels were moderate. Treatment included a gelling fiber dressing, an absorbent dressing and offloading.

After 4 weeks:

- Wound size reduced by 49%
- Wound pain reduced from 5 to 1 (VAS).



maceration — a common complication, particularly in chronic wounds. Maceration can contribute to the enlargement of a wound area and increase the risk of infection, and has been shown to prolong healing time (Haryanto et al, 2017). While maceration warrants more focused research, adequate exudate management offers a way to prevent or reduce this complication (Whitehead et al, 2017).

Since gelling fiber dressings enable mainly vertical uptake of fluid (Richetta et al, 2011) and transfer exudate to a secondary dressing, they can be a valuable component in the prevention of maceration. In the present study, the percentage of wounds with macerated edges decreased, corroborating findings from previous clinical studies (Fong et al, 2024; Klein et al, 2024). Furthermore, gelling fiber dressings are conformable to the wound bed — a prerequisite for minimising dead space in the wound bed.

Complete wound closure has rarely been measured in gelling fiber studies, largely due to the limited duration for follow-up. However, the percentage reduction in wound area over a 4-week period has been reported as a reliable indicator of healing (Eriksson et al, 2022; Serena et al, 2024).

A chronic wound that demonstrates a 50% reduction in surface area within 4 weeks of standard care is likely to heal within 12 weeks under the same treatment (Eriksson et al, 2022). In the present study, the mean individual wound area reduction was 55%, with 55.9% of patients achieving at least a 50% reduction. Consistently, both exudate levels and the number of dressing changes decreased.

Similar gelling fiber dressings have shown positive outcomes in terms of wound size reduction in various wound aetiologies:

- 50% and 42% reduction within 6 weeks for exuding venous and

mixed-aetiology leg ulcers, respectively (Joergensen et al, 2022)

- 35.4% reduction within 6 weeks for VLUs in a sloughy stage (Meaume et al, 2014)
- 18% reduction in length, 11% in width and 8% in depth within 4 weeks for hard-to-heal wounds (Fong et al, 2024)
- In diabetic foot ulcers, a correlation model identified a weekly reduction of 10% in area and 15% in volume (Chadwick and McCardle, 2016).

While partial wound closure does not automatically translate into direct benefits for the patient, patient-related factors such as quality of life and pain should be considered. Wound pain is one of the most cited concerns negatively affecting patients' quality of life (Probst et al, 2023). In this study, a reduction of the mean VAS pain score by 67% demonstrated meaningful pain relief for the patients. Similar reductions in wound pain and improvements in health-related quality of life have been reported (Joergensen et al, 2022), while others observed only slight pain reduction (Fong et al, 2024).

As dressing changes are a frequent source of pain, the use of dressings that minimise trauma and pain are recommended (Woo et al, 2008). This study highlighted the favourable removal characteristics of Cutimed® Gelling Fiber, with atraumatic removal and no or low pain reported by nearly all patients. In contrast, studies on similar gelling fiber dressings have shown more varied outcomes, including a higher incidence of mild bleeding on dressing removal (Klein et al, 2024) or adverse events related to adherence to the wound bed (Fong et al, 2024). While the latter was associated with insufficient moisture in the wound bed, the gelling fiber dressing under evaluation may be used on dry wounds with appropriate precautions such as moistening

the dressing and selecting a suitable secondary dressing to prevent desiccation, an approach that was validated in this study.

Limitations

Limitations of this study include its non-comparative design and small sample size. However, by applying broad inclusion criteria, a representative sample of wound care patients with a variety of different wound aetiologies and comorbidities were included, ensuring that the results reflect real-world data. At the same time, validity of subgroup analyses is limited by the small sample size. In addition, the possible effects of different secondary dressings were not investigated. Further controlled studies, including a larger sample size, may demonstrate further efficacy and cost-effectiveness of the dressing.

Conclusion

This study highlights the effectiveness and safety of a gelling fiber dressing when applied to low- and high-exuding wounds, both acute and chronic, in routine clinical practice. Key benefits observed included:

- Managing exudate for different wound types, even under compression, resulting in improved wound margins
- Enabling low-pain and atraumatic removal
- Reducing wound pain and supporting wound healing, independent of wound age or aetiology. ●

Ethics

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all eligible participants after they were provided with an adequate amount of time to consider their study enrolment and before any study procedures were conducted.

References

- Bishop A (2023) Factors influencing dressing choice in wound care: a discussion. *Br J Nurs* 32(Sup20): S12-S20
- Carrere C, Nghi J, Duchier A et al (2021) Community setting survey evaluating AQUACEL dressings. *J Wound Care* 30(9): 763-74
- Chadwick P, McCardle J (2016) Open, non-comparative, multi-centre post clinical study of the performance and safety of a gelling fiber wound dressing on diabetic foot ulcers. *J Wound Care* 25(5): 290-300
- Eriksson E, Liu PY, Schultz GS et al (2022) Chronic wounds: Treatment consensus. *Wound Repair Regen* 30(2): 156-71
- Fong CC, Graham A, Hamilton S et al (2024) Efficacy of a gelling-fiber dressing and a gelling-fiber dressing with silver nanoparticles at controlling exudate and infection: a 4-week multicentre clinical evaluation. *J Wound Care* 33(Sup11a): S15-S20
- Haryanto H, Arisandi D, Suriadi S et al (2017) Relationship between maceration and wound healing on diabetic foot ulcers in Indonesia: a prospective study. *Int Wound J* 14(3): 516-522
- Heggemann J, Szymanski K, Pällmann N, Seidler DG (2025) Clinical and laboratory data highlight the effectiveness of a gelling fiber dressing under compression. Poster presentation. EWMA conference EP0133 Barcelona, Spain
- Joergensen B, Blaise S, Svensson AS (2022) A randomised, open-label, parallel-group, multicentre, comparative study to compare the efficacy and safety of Exufiber® with Aquacel® Extra™ dressings in exuding venous and mixed aetiology leg ulcers. *Int Wound J* 19 Suppl 1(Suppl 1): 22-38
- Klein A, Ayoub N, Juhel C et al (2024) Performance of a gelling fiber dressing in management of wounds in a community setting: a sub-analysis of the VIPES study. *J Wound Care* 33(7): 464-73
- Meaume S, Dissemmond J, Addala A et al (2014) Evaluation of two fibrous wound dressings for the management of leg ulcers: results of a European randomised controlled trial (EARTH RCT). *J Wound Care* 23(3): 105-6,108-11, 114-6
- Probst S, Gschwind G, Murphy L et al (2023) Patients 'acceptance' of chronic wound-associated pain - A qualitative descriptive study. *J Tissue Viability* 32(4): 455-59
- Richetta AG, Cantisani C, Li VW et al (2011) Hydrofiber dressing and wound repair: review of the literature and new patents. *Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov* 5(2): 150-4
- Serena T, Yaakov S, Yaakov R et al (2024) Percentage area reduction at week 4 as a prognostic indicator of complete healing in patients treated with standard of care: a post hoc analysis. *J Wound Care* 33(Sup9): S36-S42
- Sun J, Wang Y, Zhang X et al (2020) Prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in patients with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Diabetes* 14(5): 435-444
- Valesky EM, Hach-Wunderle V, Protz K et al (2024) Diagnosis and treatment of venous leg ulcers: S2k Guideline of the German Society of Phlebology and Lymphology (DGPI) e.V. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 22(7): 1039-1051
- Weller CD, Team V, Sussman G (2020) First-Line Interactive Wound Dressing Update: A Comprehensive Review of the Evidence. *Front Pharmacol* 11: 155
- Whitehead F, Giampieri S, Graham T, Grocott P (2017) Identifying, managing and preventing skin maceration: a rapid review of the clinical evidence. *J Wound Care* 26(4): 159-165
- World Union of Wound Healing Societies (2019) Consensus Document. Wound exudate: effective assessment and management. *Wounds International*
- Woo KY, Harding K, Price P, Sibbald G (2008) Minimising wound-related pain at dressing change: evidence-informed practice. *Int Wound J* 5(2): 144-57