GLOBAL COLLABORATION IS CRUCIAL FOR LYMPHOLOGY AND FILARIOLOGY

David Addiss

ew trial with antibiotics brings hope to elephantiasis sufferers' declared a recent press release from a prominent university in the UK. Researchers reported that lymphoedema stage (but not limb circumference or the incidence of acute inflammatory episodes) had been significantly reduced in eight patients from a filariasis-endemic area of Ghana one year after a six-week course of the antibiotic doxycycline (Debrah et al, 2006). The substantial publicity that surrounded this announcement (BBC. 2006), described as a 'breakthrough' by one of the investigators, reflects how deeply people with lymphoedema and their carers yearn for a definitive 'cure.'

Lymphatic filariasis has long been a source of great interest to lymphologists, lymphoedema therapists, and researchers. Transmitted by mosquitoes, the filarial parasites Wuchereria bancrofti and Brugia malayi develop into adult worms and reside in the lumen of human lymphatic vessels, resulting in lymphangiectasia and lymphatic dysfunction (Dreyer et al, 2000). The dramatic cases of advanced elephantiasis and the sheer magnitude of the problem — an estimated 15 million people in more than 80 countries (Michael et al, 1996) — serve to sustain this interest. However, despite joint research conferences that have underscored the potential for collaboration (Anon, 1985), the fields of lymphology and filariology remain isolated from each other in practice. Sadly, this insularity contributes to a lack of knowledge about lymphoedema and its treatment worldwide.

David Addiss is Senior Programme Officer, Fetzer Institute, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA For decades, filariasis research focused on immunologic responses to filarial antigens, which differed among people with and without filariasis-associated disease (Ottesen, 1992). Researchers hypothesised that, in filariasis-endemic areas, lymphoedema was immune-mediated and once oedema appeared, little could be done

Enhanced collaboration between lymphologists and filariasis researchers and between lymphoedema therapists and filariasis programme managers is essential if we are to maximise the return on our limited investment into lymphoedema research and provide real hope to the millions of people who suffer from this disease.

to arrest its progression (Hawking, 1965). Clinical studies during the early 1990s questioned these assumptions and highlighted the important role of bacteria in acute inflammatory episodes known as dermatolymphangioadenitis (ADLA) (Olszewski et al, 1997;1999; Suma et al, 1997; Dreyer et al, 1999). They also demonstrated a strong association between ADLA and lymphoedema stage (Pani, 1995; Shenoy et al, 1995, 1999; Dreyer et al, 1999). This work suggested that simple interventions based on hygiene and skin care might prevent these acute episodes and halt the progression of disease (Suma et al, 2002).

Despite advances in diagnostic tools for infection with *W. bancrofti* and *B. malayi*, no clinical or laboratory test has

been developed that can distinguish so-called 'filarial' from 'non-filarial' lymphoedema. Therefore, the concept of 'filarial lymphoedema,' although a convenient epidemiologic shorthand for lymphoedema in filariasis-endemic areas, is of little use at the individual level. The absence of such a diagnostic marker and the recent shift from an immunologic to a clinical model of pathogenesis have helped bring the filariologist's approach to lymphoedema into closer alignment with that of the lymphologist.

Even with this conceptual convergence, standards of lymphoedema care differ dramatically between filariasisendemic areas of the tropics and the more affluent north. Professionally-fitted compression bandages and garments, which are expensive, form the mainstay of individual lymphoedema therapy in Europe, USA and Australia. In contrast, for filariasis-endemic areas, the World Health Organization recommends a public health approach based on self-care at home (WHO, 2006). This approach relies primarily on hygiene and skin care to reduce the frequency of ADLA, combined with elevation. movement and exercise to mobilise interstitial fluid (Dreyer et al, 2002; Shenoy, 2002; Vagas and Ryan, 2003). Compression garments are not generally recommended because they are too expensive, difficult to keep clean, and uncomfortable in hot humid weather.

Despite differences in standards and approaches to care, a strong case can be made for expanding the fledgling collaboration between clinicians, lymphologists and lymphoedema therapists in the north (i.e. non-filariasis-endemic areas) and clinicians and public health officials in the south (filariasis-endemic areas). First, it is increasingly

clear that the underlying physiology, pathogenesis, and factors involved in progression of lymphoedema are similar, irrespective of aetiology (Dreyer et al, 2000; Vagas and Ryan, 2003). Thus, basic research is likely to have relevance for both endemic and non-endemic areas.

Second, although compression therapy is emphasised more in the north, many of the core features of lymphoedema care are the same in both areas: protecting the barrier function of the skin to avoid ADLA; prompt administration of antibiotics when ADLA is suspected; and mobilising interstitial fluid through movement and exercise. Advances in the application of these core components of care could have global significance.

Third, an increasing number of studies indicate that the experience of lymphoedema — in particular, the adverse psychological and social effects, and issues of stigma and disfigurement — are remarkably similar, regardless of economic and geographic differences (Coreil et al, 1998; Suma et al, 2003; Kumari et al, 2005; Morgan et al, 2005; Richard et al, 2007). Thus, allowing for cultural differences, similar interventions may be used to address these issues. For example, patient support groups, which have proved critically important in the north, have also been adopted in filariasis-endemic areas with major success (Coreil et al, 2002).

Profound neglect is another feature that is shared by people with lymphoedema worldwide. Although lymphoedema management is considered an important part of the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (WHO, 2006), few if any filariasis-endemic countries provide comprehensive access to even basic lymphoedema care. Sadly, this is also true for many countries in the north, where, with a population prevalence of 1.3 per 1,000, lymphoedema remains a significant public health problem (Moffatt et al, 2003). Despite this, major public health agencies such as the US Center for Disease Control, allocate no resources for lymphoedema research, treatment, or prevention.

Given these similarities, there are clear opportunities for a productive global collaboration. First, the public health perspective and the practical approaches that are needed in filariasisendemic countries can also benefit patients in the north. Studies in filariasisendemic areas to identify and evaluate simple, low-cost interventions (e.g. locally available topical agents for interdigital lesions) are highly relevant for the north, where insurance coverage or access to treatment for lymphoedema is often limited. In addition, the filarial worms themselves may yield clues to understanding the molecular signals

It is time for investigators worldwide to adopt standardised terminology, case definitions, diagnostic criteria and outcome measures to enhance the comparability and global relevance of their research into lymphoedema. Such standardisation would greatly facilitate our capacity to interpret the significance of research findings...

responsible for endothelial regulation and lymphangiectasia. Research to explore the complex relationship between filarial worms and human lymphatic endothelial cells is now under way.

Filariasis-endemic countries could also benefit from increased collaboration with the north.WHO recognises the need to train healthcare workers to properly manage severe lymphoedema and ADLA, but such training has often been overlooked in the push to establish basic services at the community level. If coordinated with a strategy to eliminate filariasis, experienced lymphoedema therapists and physicians from the north could provide training and assistance in equipping national-level lymphoedema reference centres.

Finally, in both settings, much remains unknown about the pathogenesis, optimal treatment, and prevention of lymphatic disease. There is little consensus even on the classification and staging of lymphoedema (Ryan, 2004). Several important issues remain unresolved in all geographic areas, such as the aetiology of ADLA (Bonnetblanc and Bedane, 2003), the effectiveness of antibiotic treatment (Badger et al, 2004), and the microbial contributions to interdigital skin lesions (Aste et al. 2001: McPherson et al. 2006). It is time for investigators worldwide to adopt standardised terminology, case definitions, diagnostic criteria and outcome measures to enhance the comparability and global relevance of their research into lymphoedema. Such standardisation would greatly facilitate our capacity to interpret the significance of research findings, such as those reported at the beginning of this editorial. Enhanced collaboration between lymphologists and filariasis researchers and between lymphoedema therapists and filariasis programme managers is essential if we are to maximise the return on our limited investment into lymphoedema research and provide real hope to the millions of people who suffer from this disease.

References

Anon (1985) 12th international WHO/TDR/ FIL conference on lymphatic pathology and immunopathology in filariasis, Thanjavur, India, November 18–22, 1985. *Lymphology* 18: 148–68

Aste N, Atzori L, Zucca M, Pau M, Biggio P (2001) Gram-negative bacterial toe web infection: A survey of 123 cases from the district of Cagliari, Italy. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 45(4): 537–41

Badger C, Preston N, Seers K, Mortimer P (2004) Antibiotics/anti-inflammatories for reducing acute inflammatory episodes in lymphoedema of the limbs. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2: CD003143

BBC (2006) Pill 'defeats elephant disease'. 14th November http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/health/5369930.stm. Last accessed 26th February 2007

Bonnetblanc J, Bedane C (2003) Erysipelas — recognition and management. *Am J Clin Dermatol* **4**: 157–63

Coreil J, Mayard G, Louis-Charles J, Addiss D (1998) Filarial elephantiasis among Haitian women: Social context and behavioural factors in treatment. *Trop Med Int Health* **3**(6): 467–73

Coreil J, Mayard G, Addiss D (2002) Support Groups for Women with Lymphatic Filariasis in Haiti. Social, Economic and Behavioral Research Report Series No. 2. UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), Geneva

Debrah AY, Mand S, Specht S et al (2006) Doxycycline reduces plasma VEGF-C/ sVEGFR-3 and improves pathology in lymphatic filariasis. *PLoS Pathog* 2(9): e92

Dreyer G, Medeiros Z, Netto MJ, Leal NC, de Castro LG, Piessens WF (1999) Acute attacks in the extremities of persons living in an area endemic for bancroftian filariasis: Differentiation of two syndromes. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 93(4): 413–7

Dreyer G, Noroes J, Figueredo-Silva J, Piessens WF (2000) Pathogenesis of lymphatic disease in bancroftian filariasis: A clinical perspective. *Parasitol Today* **16(12)**: 544–8

Dreyer G, Addiss D, Dreyer P, Noroes J (2002) Basic Lymphoedema Management: Treatment and Prevention of Problems Associated with Lymphatic Filariasis. Hollis Publishing Co, Hollis, New Hampshire, USA

Hawking F (1965) Advances in filariasis especially concerning periodicity of microfilariae. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **59**: 9–25

Kumari KA, Harichandrakumar KT, Das LK, Krishnamoorthy K (2005) Physical and psychosocial burden due to lymphatic filariasis as perceived by patients and medical experts. *Trop Med Int Health* **10**(6): 567–73

McPherson T, Persaud S, Singh S, Fay MP, Addiss D, Nutman TB, Hay R (2006) Interditigal lesions and frequency of acute dermatolymphangioadenitis in lymphoedema in a filariasis-endemic area. *Br J Dermatol* 154(5): 933–41

Michael E, Bundy DAP, Grenfell BT (1996) Re-assessing the global prevalence and distribution of lymphatic filariasis. *Parasitology* 112: 409–28

Moffatt CJ, Franks PJ, Doherty DC wt al (2003) Lymphoedema: an underestimated health problem. *QJM* 96: 731–8

Morgan PA, Franks PJ, Moffatt CJ (2005) Health-related quality of life with lymphoedema: a review of the literature. *Int Wound J* 2: 47–62

Olszewski WL, Jamal S, Manokaran G et al (1997) Bacteriologic studies of skin, tissue fluid, lymph, and lymph nodes in patients with filarial lymphedema. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 57: 7–15

Olszewski WL, Jamal S, Manokaran G et al (1999) Bacteriological studies of blood, tissue fluid, lymph and lymph nodes in patients with acute dermatolymphangioadenitis (ADLA) in course of filarial lymphedema. *Acta Trop* 73(3): 217–24

Ottesen E (1992) Infection and disease in lymphatic filariasis: An immunological perspective. *Parasitology* **104**: 571–9

Pani SP (1995) Clinical manifestations of bancroftian filariasis with special reference to lymphoedema grading. *Indian J Med Res* **102**: 114–8.

Richard SA, Mathieu E, Addiss D, Sodahlon YK (2007) A survey of treatment practices and burden of lymphoedema in Togo. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **101(4)**: 391–7

Ryan T (2004) A search for consensus on the staging of lymphedema. *Lymphology* 37: 180–1

Shenoy RK, Sandhya K, Suma TK, Kumaraswami V (1995) A preliminary study of filariasis related acute adenolymphangitis with special reference to precipitating factors and treatment modalities. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 26(2): 301–5

Shenoy RK, Kumaraswami V, Suma TK, Rajan K, Radhakuttyamma G (1999) A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy of oral penicillin, diethylcarbamazine or local treatment of the affected limb in preventing acute adenolymphangitis in lymphoedema caused by brugian filariasis. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 93(4): 367–77

Shenoy RK (2002) Management of disability in lymphatic filariasis — an update. *J Commun Dis* 34: 1–14

Suma TK, Shenoy RK, Varghese J, Kuttikkal VV, Kumaraswami V (1997) Estimation of ASO titer as an indicator of streptococcal infection precipitating acute adenolymphangitis in brugian lymphatic filariasis. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 28(4): 826–30

Suma TK, Shenoy RK, Kumaraswami V (2002) Efficacy and sustainability of a footcare programme in preventing acute attacks of adenolymphangitis in Brugian filariasis. *Trop Med Int Health* 7(9): 763–6

Suma TK, Shenoy RK, Kumaraswami V (2003) A qualitative study of the perceptions, practices and socio-psychological suffering related to chronic brugian filariasis in Kerala, southern India. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 97(8): 839–45

Vagas B, Ryan TJ (2003) Lymphoedema: Pathophysiology and management in resource-poor settings — relevance for lymphatic filariasis control programmes. *Filaria J* 12(2): 4

World Health Organization (2006) Informal consultation on preventing disability from lymphatic filariasis, WHO, Geneva, August 2006. Whly Epidemiol Rec 81(40): 373–84



www.journaloflymphoedema.com

DOWNLOADABLE EDUCATION:

Articles from the Journal of Lymphoedema

Template for Practice: Compression hosiery in lymphoedema

Best Practice Statement in Lymphoedema (plus translations)

UK Lymphoedema Framework journal

UK Best Practice Document

EWMA Lymphoedema Bandaging document

All lymphoedema papers published by Christine Moffatt and team Activa roadshow video

LINKS AVAILABLE TO:

Academic societies ● Patient information ● Industry ● Wounds UK ● Our bookstore

→ Events listings → Courses throughout the UK → Awards – promotion of our Lymphoedema Awards at Wounds UK Awards 2007 → E-newsletter → Visit our bookstore to see details of all Wounds UK titles